

Table 5.
HBA Regional, Rural, and Urban Funding Amounts

Region	Place for Geographical Reference	Regional Funding Amount	Regional Funding %	Rural Funding Amount	Rural Funding %	Urban Funding Amount	Urban Funding %
1	Lubbock	\$208,746	5.4%	\$208,699	100.0%	\$47	0.0%
2	Abilene	\$142,318	3.7%	\$138,340	97.2%	\$3,978	2.8%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$761,988	19.6%	\$221,188	29.0%	\$540,799	71.0%
4	Tyler	\$434,462	11.2%	\$369,251	85.0%	\$65,211	15.0%
5	Beaumont	\$196,335	5.0%	\$179,732	91.5%	\$16,603	8.5%
6	Houston	\$286,949	7.4%	\$101,554	35.4%	\$185,395	64.6%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$203,167	5.2%	\$83,651	41.2%	\$119,516	58.8%
8	Waco	\$123,851	3.2%	\$87,858	70.9%	\$35,992	29.1%
9	San Antonio	\$196,419	5.1%	\$128,550	65.4%	\$67,869	34.6%
10	Corpus Christi	\$263,022	6.8%	\$185,490	70.5%	\$77,531	29.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$772,125	19.9%	\$434,056	56.2%	\$338,069	43.8%
12	San Angelo	\$171,122	4.4%	\$73,692	43.1%	\$97,430	56.9%
13	El Paso	\$128,091	3.3%	\$93,512	73.0%	\$34,579	27.0%
Total		\$3,888,595	100.0%	\$2,305,576	59.3%	\$1,583,019	40.7%