Table 1.
Regional Allocation

u o	Place for	Regional	Regional	Rural	Rural	Urban	Urban
Region	Geographical	Funding	Funding	Funding	Funding	Funding	Funding
R	Reference	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
1	Lubbock	\$161,045	5.4%	\$161,009	100.0%	\$36	0.0%
2	Abilene	\$109,796	3.7%	\$106,728	97.2%	\$3,069	2.8%
3	Dallas/Fort Worth	\$587,864	19.6%	\$170,644	29.0%	\$417,220	71.0%
4	Tyler	\$335,182	11.2%	\$284,872	85.0%	\$50,309	15.0%
5	Beaumont	\$151,470	5.0%	\$138,661	91.5%	\$12,809	8.5%
6	Houston	\$221,378	7.4%	\$78,348	35.4%	\$143,030	64.6%
7	Austin/Round Rock	\$156,741	5.2%	\$64,536	41.2%	\$92,205	58.8%
8	Waco	\$95,549	3.2%	\$67,782	70.9%	\$27,768	29.1%
9	San Antonio	\$151,535	5.1%	\$99,175	65.4%	\$52,360	34.6%
10	Corpus Christi	\$202,918	6.8%	\$143,103	70.5%	\$59,814	29.5%
11	Brownsville/Harlingen	\$595,684	19.9%	\$334,869	56.2%	\$260,816	43.8%
12	San Angelo	\$132,018	4.4%	\$56,852	43.1%	\$75,166	56.9%
13	El Paso	\$98,821	3.3%	\$72,144	73.0%	\$26,677	27.0%
	Total	\$3,000,000	100.0%	\$1,778,722	59.3%	\$1,221,278	40.7%